

S. Pompéia · O. F. A. Bueno · S. Tufik

Lorazepam should no longer be used as a prototypical benzodiazepine

Received: 19 March 2003 / Accepted: 13 April 2003 / Published online: 28 May 2003
© Springer-Verlag 2003

Jackson et al. reported in the last issue of *Psychopharmacology* (2003) that lorazepam (2 mg) cross generalizes with the alcohol stimulus in humans, a result that was interpreted as indicating cross-species generality of the GABA_A component of the alcohol discriminative stimulus. We would like to draw attention to the fact that the selection of lorazepam as the drug to prove their point is somewhat unfortunate, because there is a considerable body of data suggesting that this drug is an atypical benzodiazepine (BZ). Firstly, it has been reported that animals (baboons and rats) trained to discriminate lorazepam show a unique generalization profile when compared to those trained to discriminate other BZs (Ator and Griffiths 1997, 1999): they are more likely to generalize only to compounds that are full agonists of BZ receptors and do not reliably generalize to compounds that enhance GABA, such as barbiturates, and classic anticonvulsants and sedative/hypnotics, including ethanol, chloral hydrate, and valproic acid. Hence, it seems unwise to consider that generalization from alcohol to lorazepam in fact indicates the cross-species generality of the GABA_A component of the alcohol discriminative stimulus, as claimed by Jackson et al., because lorazepam does not produce equivalent discriminative effects to those of most GABA_A ligands.

Lorazepam also leads to acute cognitive effects in humans that are by no means equivalent to those observed after the ingestion of other BZ: it leads to almost no acute tolerance and to qualitatively different effects, as verified by the use of detailed brain mapping technology (Itil et al. 1989). Other atypical effects include the widely publicised disruption of performance in measures of many types of perceptual priming, as well as of visual information processing (see Pompéia et al. 2003).

Unfortunately, most of the above-mentioned studies did not follow specific methodologies for selecting equipotent doses of lorazepam and the BZ with which it

was being compared. If non-equipotent doses are used, what seems to characterize qualitative differences between drugs may in fact consist of quantitative differences, a fact that would imply that all BZ act in a similar manner. However, a recent within-subject comparison of visual and auditory event-related potential (ERP) effects of acute doses of lorazepam and flunitrazepam, a BZ with standard effects, revealed that lorazepam does in fact induce an atypical disruption of visual ERPs even when the doses of both drugs are equated following strict criteria (Pompéia et al. 2003).

As detailed by Pompéia et al. (2003), priming and visual disruption brought about by lorazepam are thought to be mediated through BZ binding sites at GABA_A receptors, but scant attention has been given to the fact that similar effects are not observed for other BZ agonists, which all supposedly act at the same binding sites. Lorazepam's pharmacokinetics cannot account for these atypical effects, as this drug is no different from other BZs in terms of liposolubility, plasma-protein binding characteristics, absorption and elimination half-life parameters or receptor affinity. There are only two indications of specific pharmacological characteristics of lorazepam that may determine its atypical effects. Firstly, lorazepam's association/dissociation rate constants to central BZ receptors differ from those of certain BZ compounds. An example of the importance of this pharmacological characteristic, although not related to GABAergic ligands, is that fast dissociation rate constants from D₂ receptors can determine why atypical antipsychotics have a different profile from typical compounds (Kapur and Seeman 2001).

Secondly, binding studies show that lorazepam is most potent in the cerebellum, while most BZs are not differentially potent across brain regions (Sanger and Benavides 1993), even though there is no indication that lorazepam binds differently to BZ₁- and BZ₂-type receptors when compared to other full agonists. Considering that it has been suggested that drugs that have qualitatively different effects from others in their class may display binding profiles to as yet uncharacterised recep-

S. Pompéia · O. F. A. Bueno (✉) · S. Tufik
Department de Psicobiologia, UNIFESP,
R. Napoleão de Barros, 925 CEP, 04024-002 São Paulo, Brazil
e-mail: ofabueno@psicobio.epm.br

tors (e.g. Sanger et al. 1999), it may well be that there are specific receptors for lorazepam.

In short, lorazepam displays an atypical profile when compared to other benzodiazepines, for reasons still unknown. Therefore, this drug should no longer be used as a reference/prototypical BZ, as is so often done throughout the literature.

Acknowledgements The authors thank AFIP and FAPESP for financial support.

References

- Ator NA, Griffiths RR (1997) Selectivity in the generalization profile in baboons trained to discriminate lorazepam: benzodiazepines, barbiturates and other sedative/anxiolytics. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 282:1442–1457
- Ator NA, Griffiths RR (1999) Drug discrimination analysis of partial agonists at the benzodiazepine site. I. Differential effects of U-78878 across training conditions in baboons and rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 289:1434–1446
- Itil T, Shapiro D, Itil KZ, Eralp E, Bergamo M, Mucci A (1989) Discrimination of mode of action of anxiolytics using an integrated computer data bank and dynamic brain mapping (CNS effects of diazepam and lorazepam). *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 4:273–283
- Jackson A, Stephens DN, Duka T (2003) Lorazepam substitutes for the alcohol stimulus in social drinkers. *Psychopharmacology* 166:181–187
- Kapur S, Seeman P (2001) Does fast dissociation from dopamine D₂ receptors explain the action of atypical antipsychotics? A new hypothesis. *Am J Psychiatry* 158:360–369
- Pompéia S, Manzano GM, Galduróz JCF, Tufik S, Bueno OFA (2003) Lorazepam induces an atypical dissociation of visual and auditory event related potentials. *J Psychopharmacol* 17:31–40
- Sanger DJ, Benavides J (1993) Discriminative stimulus effects of omega (BZ) receptor ligands: correlation with in vivo inhibition of [³H]-flumazenil binding in different regions of the rat central nervous system. *Psychopharmacology* 111:315–322
- Sanger DJ, Griebel G, Perrault G, Claustre Y, Schoemaker H (1999) Discriminative stimulus effects of drugs acting at GABA(A) receptors: differential profiles and receptor selectivity. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 64:269–273